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## Methodology/Term Definitions

| Summary |
| :--- |
| The purpose of this study is to suggest ways <br> in which the Five Points market can <br> enhance its retail conditions and offerings <br> through an understanding of customer <br> markets and their spending potential <br> This analysis includes, identification of key <br> retail demand segments/customer groups, <br> calculation of the market expenditures, an <br> evaluation of the existing retail <br> environment, and recommendations for <br> retail improvements. <br> In order to calculate the retail potential of the <br> Five Points market, ERA has analyzed the <br> demand generated by two major customer <br> groups: <br> -Surrounding Residents <br> -USC students/Visitors |

## Demand Potential

Major customer groups are analyzed by their retail demand potential. The demand potential is the total annual spending of each market segment (customer group) in the selected retail categories.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Capture Rate } \\
& \text { Capture rates identify what portion of demand potential is realistically } \\
& \text { captured in a given area (i.e. a capture rate of } 10 \% \text { assumes that } \\
& \$ 10 \text { of every } \$ 100 \text { is spent in a given area). } \\
& \text { In this study total retail potential of all consumer segments is totaled } \\
& \text { and then an overall capture rate is calculated. From that an } \\
& \text { estimate of how a series of recommendations can increase this rate } \\
& \text { are derived. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Productivity and Supportable Square Feet

Productivity is quantified retail performance on an annual sales volume per square foot basis

Supportable square feet is estimated based on annual market expenditures and productivity

## Retail trade areas encompass surrounding households that are potential CBID customers . . . if a distinct merchandise mix that reflects market characteristics, is in place.



## Five Points Overview

ERA identified three residential markets from which Five Points/Devine Street retailers can attract customers:

- Primary Market - Residents living within a five minute drive of downtown Columbia (Gervais Street and Main Street)
- Secondary Market - Residents living within a five and ten minute drive of downtown Columbia
- Tertiary Market - Residents living within a ten and twenty minute drive of downtown Columbia

At this scale the difference in size of resident markets for Five Points and downtown Columbia are negligible

Markets identified by drive time are used because these reflect the real accessibility of each market to Columbia

## Household income, lifestyle preferences, and expenditure patterns are relevant factors when assessing retail potential and ultimately crafting a merchandise mix plan.

## Summary

The combined population and households of the Five Points markets are about 394,000 and almost 157,000 respectively. The market is expected to grow by a little more than 10,200 households between 2007 and 2012 with most of that growth occurring ten to twenty minutes outside of downtown Columbia.

The average household income in the area is expected to increase by $\$ 12,472$ over the next 5 years, however the secondary and tertiary markets can expect much higher income growth. This represents a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) between $2.6 \%$ and $2.9 \%$ and, when adjusted for inflation, an average real growth rate of 1.0\%
The total area income is expected to increase by about $\$ 6.5$ billion from 2007 to 2012. About 75\% of the expected increase in income is a result of income growth in the tertiary market


## Psychographic information describes the litestyle preterences and buying patterns of households, which is important when analyzing retail potential and outlining recruitment goals.

## Primary Market (5 min. drive)

> Analyzed Life Mode Tapestry groups, which cluster similar lifestyles and life stages
> Each Life Mode group has several more specific segmentation categories ( 65 in whole system)
$>\quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ largest groups (Metropolis and Solo Acts), are potential downtown customers
$>$ Scholars and Patriots - 25\%

- College Towns $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ of total
- $4^{\text {th }}$ youngest of all tapestry segments with a median age of 24.5
- Median household income is $\$ 28,900$
- Dorms to Diplomas 10\% of total
> Metropolis - 26\%
- Metropolitans 13\% of total
- Favor city living, have active urbane lifestyle
- $75 \%$ of those over 25 have college degree or higher
- Median income $\$ 61,000$
- Modest Income Homes 8\% of total
> Solo Acts - 13\%
- Young and Restless 3\% of total
- Young population with median income below US median income, but higher discretionary income because only $23 \%$ of these households include children
- Old and Newcomers 3\% of total
- Typically renters that are starting their careers or retiring
- Higher percentage than national average of people in their $20^{\prime}$ 's or over 75

High Society is relevant for retail - smallest group

- Contains 7 most affluent segments, high disposable income
- Category grows significantly has market extends (3\% and $9 \%$ of secondary and tertiary markets)
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## In the secondary market, a larger segment of the population [than in the primary market] are likely urban customers.

```
Secondary Market (10 min. drive)
```

> Solo Acts - 23\%

- Young and Restless dominant sub group - 17\% of total
- Young professionals pursuing careers, busy lifestyles
- Read magazines to stay current on lifestyle, entertainment, and fashion trends
- Technologically savvy
- Not yet saving for retirement or investing
- Frequent movies, clubs, bars
> Traditional Living - 20\%
- Most are Family Foundations 12\% of total
- $73 \%$ of these households are composed of various family types
- Median household income is $\$ 42,100$
- They spend on home maintenance and on their families
- Midlife Junction are 6\% of total
- Median age is 40.5
- Median household income is $\$ 43,600$
- These households spend their money carefully and do not succumb to fads
> Senior Styles - 17\%
- Prosperous Empty Nesters 5\% of total
- $56 \%$ of Prosperous Empty Nesters are 55 or older
- Median household income is $\$ 66,200$
- Place a high value on their physical or financial wellbeing
- Rustbelt Retirees 4\% of total
- Median household income is $\$ 47,400$, which is just below the U.S. median household income



## The Tertiary Market has the most affluent customer base, but they are the farthest from downtown; the quality of Merchandise Mix will determine their impact.

## Tertiary Market (20 min. drive)

> Family Portrait - 30\%

- Up and coming Families are $15 \%$ of total
- Youngest segment of the most affluent family markets
- Median age of 31.9 years
- Mix of Generation X and Baby Boomers
- Many are beginning or expanding their families
- Milk and Cookies are $14 \%$ of total
> Distance from downtown limits likelihood of frequent shopping trips to downtown
> Over 60\% are households with affluence who enjoy spending
> Must create great retail mix (destination) to attract
- Young, affluent, married couples who are starting their families
- Median household income is $\$ 60,700$
> Upscale Avenues - 15\%
- Cozy and Comfortable is most dominant subgroup - $5 \%$ of total
- Middle-aged, married couples with a median age of 41


Factories \& Farms

- Median household income is $\$ 61,800$
- $56 \%$ of Prosperous Empty Nesters are 55 or older
- Median household income is $\$ 66,200$
- Place a high value on their physical or financial well-being



## The first step in assessing retail potential is understanding 1) who are the customers, 2) how much money do they spend and 3) where do they spend money (retail categories).

## These expenditures:

- are an indication of spending patterns and household spending power
- represent household purchases anywhere, including expenditures that do not occur in the trade area or downtown
- do not indicate total spending in Five Points

| Estimated 2012 Market Expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail Categories By Market | Total HHs | Avg HH Retail Expenditures 2012 | Annual Market Area Retail Expenditures |
| Primary | 20,687 |  |  |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services |  | \$1,660 | \$34,157,961 |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics |  | \$1,761 | \$36,234,108 |
| Personal Care \& Services |  | \$784 | \$16,133,171 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places |  | \$2,426 | \$49,915,462 |
| Subtotal |  | \$6,632 | \$136,440,701 |
| Secondary | 54,246 |  |  |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services |  | \$2,007 | \$107,857,322 |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics |  | \$2,208 | \$118,660,413 |
| Personal Care \& Services |  | \$1,012 | \$54,406,026 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places |  | \$2,927 | \$157,302,134 |
| Subtotal |  | \$8,153 | \$438,225,894 |
| Tertiary | 92,287 |  |  |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services |  | \$2,595 | \$235,821,894 |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics |  | \$2,679 | \$243,420,806 |
| Personal Care \& Services |  | \$1,264 | \$114,839,174 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places |  | \$3,756 | \$341,295,473 |
| Subtotal |  | \$10,294 | \$935,377,347 |
| Total | 167,220 |  |  |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services |  | \$6,262 | \$377,837,176 |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics |  | \$6,648 | \$398,315,326 |
| Personal Care \& Services |  | \$3,060 | \$185,378,371 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places |  | \$9,109 | \$548,513,070 |
| Total |  | \$25,079 | \$1,510,043,943 |

Total Residential Retail Spending, 2012


## Summary

\$1.5B annual spending - all categories \& markets
$\$ 96.3 \mathrm{M}$ projected increase in combined residential market 2007-2012

Spending in selected retail categories is expected to increase by $\$ 6.7 \mathrm{M}, \$ 16 \mathrm{M}$, and $\$ 73.6 \mathrm{M}$ in the primary, secondary, and tertiary markets from 2007 to 2012

This is a result of growth in households for each market. For accurate comparison, dollars remain constant in 2007 terms

Future economic conditions, consumer behavior, and propensity to consume among residents all have an impact on expenditure growth

ERA No: 17467 - Columbia Five Points/Devine Street Retail Analysis

## Five Points greatly benefits from a close proximity to the University of South Carolina, which brings many potential customers to the area.



[^0]
## If Five Points/Devine retail is successful it will penetrate a portion of total resident and student expenditures (capture); capture rates vary depending on the sub-district, retail type, and customer type.

| Capture Rates |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capture Rates or market penetration rates identify a realistic portion of <br> expenditures that could be spent in a given area | Market penetration rates take into <br> consideration the following <br> aspects of the sub-districts under <br> study |
| Potential spending from the three residential markets is calculated by <br> applying low and high capture rates to estimated expenditures for 2012 | - Existing and potential critical <br> mass of retail |
| Additionally, common consumer behaviors influence the propensity to buy <br> certain items. For example, people: <br> - Bumpetitive context (i.e. other <br> - Buy groceries and convenience items close to home <br> - Travel farther more often to go to restaurants as opposed to retail | Proximity of market to sub- <br> district |


| Residential Market Capture Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tertiary |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Care \& Services | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\text { Residential Markets Potential Spending, } 2012
$$

|  | Primary |  |  |  | Secondary |  |  |  | Tertiary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low |  | High |  | Low |  | High | Low | High |
| Retail | \$ | 6,056,767 | \$ | 10,166,716 | \$ | 19,664,663 | \$ | 28,794,685 | \$19,307,661 | \$38,615,322 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | \$ | 4,991,546 | \$ | 7,487,319 | \$ | 15,730,213 | \$ | 23,595,320 | \$17,064,774 | \$34,129,547 |
| Total | \$ | 11,048,313 | \$ | 17,654,035 | \$ | 35,394,877 | \$ | 52,390,006 | \$36,372,435 | \$72,744,869 |

[^1]
## ERA estimated USC student expenditures at Five Points/Devine with capture rates and accounted for demand from family and friends visiting USC students by estimating trips per year and expenditures per trip.

| USC Student Market Capture Rates |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Low | High |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Personal Care \& Services | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ |


| USC Family Visits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Visits | Visitor <br> days |
| Parents | 27972 | 2 | 55944 |


| USC Student Potential Spending |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Low |  |  |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services | High |  |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics | $\$ 60,040$ | $\$ 755,265$ |
| Personal Care \& Services | $\$ 1,545,931$ | $\$ 180,751$ |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | $\$ 7,716,835$ | $\$ 10,803,897$ |
| Total | $\$ 9,795,057$ | $\$ 14,058,482$ |



| Summary |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Assumes } 1.5 \text { family members visit USC undergraduate students twice } \\ \text { during the year } \\ \text { Assumes Five Points can capture } 30 \% \text { of USC family member } \\ \text { expenditures } \\ \text { The USC market boasts high demand for eating and drinking places } \\ \text { but low demand for retail because of the propensity for students } \\ \text { to shop for apparel at home rather than at school and in Five } \\ \text { Points } \\ \text { Because of the offerings in Five Points, such as tattoo parlors and } \\ \text { tanning beds, personal care and services can potentially capture a } \\ \text { significant percentage of student spending }\end{array}$ |

ERA next determined the estimated supportable square feet for downtown retail based on estimated downtown expenditures and sales per square feet.

| Five Points Supportable SF, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail Category and Market |  | ual Market Area Expenditures |  |  | Productivity | Supportable Square Feet |  |
|  |  | Low |  | High |  | Low | High |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents | \$ | 45,029,091 | \$ | 77,576,723 |  | 128,655 | 221,648 |
| USC Students | \$ | 2,210,966 | \$ | 3,520,402 | \$350 | 6,317 | 10,058 |
| USC Family Members | \$ | 461,538 | \$ | 461,538 |  | 1319 | 1319 |
| Subtotal | \$ | 47,701,595 | \$ | 81,558,662 |  | 136,290 | 233,025 |
| Food and Beverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents | \$ | 37,786,533 | \$ | 65,212,187 |  | 125,955 | 217,374 |
| USC Students | \$ | 7,716,835 | \$ | 10,803,569 | \$300 | 25,723 | 36,012 |
| USC Family Members | \$ | 923,076 | \$ | 923,076 |  | 3,077 | 3,077 |
| Subtotal | \$ | 45,503,368 | \$ | 76,015,756 |  | 154,755 | 256,463 |
| Retail | \$ | 47,701,595 | \$ | 81,558,662 |  | 136,290 | 233,025 |
| Food and Beverage | \$ | 45,503,368 | \$ | 76,015,756 |  | 154,755 | 256,463 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 93,204,964 | \$ | 157,574,418 |  | 291,045 | 489,488 |


| Variables |
| :--- |
| These low and high estimates reflect conservative and aggressive capture <br> rates <br> Low estimates assumes the conditions of retail stores remains similar and <br> any additional demand is driven by population growth in Columbia <br> High estimates are achieved if the tenant mix is improved. The physical <br> appearance in and out of the stores and restaurants are improved. <br> Market conditions will also influence customer expenditures in Five Points |


| Productivity |
| :--- |
| Productivity: Gross annual sales per |
| square foot for retail |
| - May vary, therefore a constant |
| industry and market appropriate |
| standard was applied (between |
| $\$ 300$ and $\$ 350$ dollars per SF) |
| - This further qualifies the tenant mix |
| as one with successful retailers that |
| can pay a sufficient rent and |
| maintain appropriate inventory |
| levels and merchandise |
| - Typically rent is between 8 - 12 |
| percent of gross sales |
| - Sufficient productivity enables |
| tenants to continually improve and |
| maintain merchandising, displays, |
| operations, etc. |
| - Sufficient rent enables landlords |
| continually maintain and renovate |
| buildings |

## EXISTING SQUARE FOOTAGE:

Approximately 372,000 SF

Source: Info USA, 2007; CoStar, 2007; Economics Research Associates, 2007.

[^2]
## Multiple factors will ultimately affect the supportable square feet and success of the retail clusters over the long-term.

```
Factors Affecting Store Supportable Demand
291,000 SF \(\longleftarrow\)
III-formed \begin{tabular}{c}
\(350,000 \mathrm{SF} \longrightarrow\) \\
Merchandise Mix
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l}
\(489,000 \mathrm{SF}\) \\
Well-formed
\end{tabular}
```



```
The success and appeal of a retail cluster is directly linked to its merchandise mix and its function as a destination.
New catalytic or competitive development projects can either facilitate additional demand or monopolize market support
The trade areas are capable of spending a certain amount. If more \$\$ are spent in one store less \$\$ will be spent elsewhere.
```


## Factors Affecting Store Productivity



A store's size, location, interior and storefront design are part of total appeal for customers

Price-points and merchandise should accurately reflect the demographics and lifestyle characteristics of the consumers


Merchandise quality (price), quantity (inventory level), and mark-up, as well as store size, influence retailers' profitability (Sales / SF)

## Based on the amount of existing retail, there is market support for up to 117,000 additional SF by 2012; however if conditions worsen, low estimates are 81,000 SF less than current retail space.

## Existing Retail Space

> ERA estimated the amount of existing retail space utilizing CoStar (a national industry resource for real estate information)
> Includes buildings that are classified as retail, but not currently leased to stores

- Much of the market demand applies to these buildings that should be renovated, released, or replaced over time as retail grows.

| Street | Square Footage |
| :--- | ---: |
| Devine Street | 105,350 |
| Five Points | 266,759 |
| Total | 372,109 |
| Source: CoStar; Economics Research Associates, |  |
| 2007 |  |


| Additional Supportable Square Feet, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Supportable Square Feet |  |
|  | Low | High |
| Space Demand |  |  |
| Retail | 136,290 | 233,025 |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | 154,755 | 256,463 |
| Total | 291,045 | 489,488 |
| Existing Retail Estimate |  |  |
| Additional Supportable SF | -81,064 | 117,379 |

Source: ESRI Business Analyst, 2007; SBRI Survey (UC San Diego), 2004; Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2001; Moore School of Business, Division of Research; Economics Research Associates, 2007

## Discussion

$>$ New projects or tenants in five points and on Devine Street and market conditions will influence the supportable SF
$>$ The SF of retail type does not indicate number of stores; stores size varies
> Typical store sizes:

- Apparel 3,500 SF
- Accessories 2,000-10,000 SF
- Personal Care 2,000-10,000 SF
- Household Furnishings 3,500-10,000 SF
- Restaurants 3,,000-6,000+ SF
- Quick Service food 1,200-3,500 SF


## Five Points has a base of unique retailers and a strong community identity, from which to build upon.

## Market Strengths

$>$ Five Points/Devine Street has some contiguous retail and restaurants along street
$\rightarrow$ Five Points has several streets considered pedestrian friendly because they are well landscaped and most streets have only 2 lanes
$>$ Variety of retail and reputation for hip/funky merchandise make Five Points a destination
$>$ Restaurants along Devine Street have high quality reputation
$>$ Retail along Devine among most popular retail destinations in downtown Columbia
$>$ Iconic storefront and signage elements that Columbia customers identify with, such as Yesterdays awning bath tub
$>$ The population density in and around Five Points is growing as new residential supply coming online will provide a boost in the primary market sales


## Retail uses should strive to draw from an expanded market; balancing nighttime bars with daytime uses will help this.

## Market Weaknesses

$>$ Retail along Devine Street is interrupted by office space such as law offices and street is wide
$>$ About $30 \%$ of restaurants in Five Points/Devine Street are bars that open late in the day and offer very little synergy with retail stores operating during the day
$>$ Bars in Five Points have a higher percentage of sales coming from student spending which is not expected to grow as fast as the residential markets - student spending remains fairly constant
$>$ Retail draws business mainly from residential areas immediately surrounding Five Points, as opposed to farther out
$>$ Signage does not reflect store offerings
$>$ Some awnings are in disrepair


## Five Points overarching goal should be to recruit a Merchandise Mix with retailers that cater to all potential customer groups and uphold Five Points' identity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Strategic Needs Assessment } \\
& >\text { Maintain list of property and tenant } \\
& \text { information - understand current lease } \\
& \text { terms, etc. } \\
& >\text { Develop design guidelines for future infill } \\
& \text { development }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Aesthetic Improvements

> Awnings, facades, and signs should be maintained, clean, and reflect the atmosphere of the restaurant or retail store.
> Storefront and Signage Design Guidelines would be helpful

## Tenant Recommendations

Five Points bar turnover should be filled with restaurants (lunch \& dinner).

- Moderate price points, such as Charleston-based The Kickin Chicken (2 USC alumni owners), 4 SC locations and growing
- Concept/chef-driven destinations

| Recommendation 1: Existing Retail Space |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Utilize existing space to fill retail demand with market appropriate |
| tenants |
| -Improve or renovate existing spaces, specifically facades and <br> window displays |

## Recommendation 2: Urban Entertainment Districts

- Unless actively managed, Urban Entertainment Districts are prone to eventually become unbalanced with excessive late-night activity
- Maintain a balance of night-time entertainment and day-time retail uses - this mix results in the most successful district all around
- Discourage establishments that are exclusively bars, rather encourage bars with both lunch and dinner service


## Recommendation 3: "Keeping 5-Points Funky"

- As stated in Nov. 23rd, 2007 The State article
- Encourage independent and regional stores
- Potential (if nurtured) to draw tertiary and farther markets with unique and differentiated merchandise mix and shopping/dining environment
- Build on reputation of high quality retail on Devine Street,


## APPENDIX

## Reported Productivity at Five-Points and Devine

|  | Sales Volume | RBA (SF) | Productivity (Dollars/SF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| India Pavilion | \$80,000 | 2,749 | \$29 |
| Village Idiot | \$914,000 | 2,500 | \$366 |
| Yesterday's Tavern | \$3,400,000 | 8,791 | \$387 |
| Subway | \$200,000 | 1,026 | \$195 |
| Jake's | \$1,072,000 | 11,336 | \$95 |
| Baan Sawan | \$200,000 | 1,002 | \$200 |
| Andy's deli | \$440,000 | 4,075 | \$108 |
| Garbaldi Cafe | \$1,360,000 | 2,572 | \$529 |
| Groucho's Deli | \$720,000 | 2,749 | \$262 |
| Bar None | \$200,000 | 2,558 | \$78 |
| Knock Knock Club | \$1,608,000 | 8,499 | \$189 |
| Sharky's | \$480,000 | 7,916 | \$61 |
| Locals | \$268,000 | 1,598 | \$168 |
| Harpers | \$4,000,000 | 6,402 | \$625 |
| Pop's NY Pizza | \$1,200,000 | 1,437 | \$835 |
| Chubbie's $2 \times 4$ | \$737,000 | 2,218 | \$332 |
| Gracie's | \$480,000 | 1,270 | \$378 |
| Jungle Jim's | \$737,000 | 5,097 | \$145 |
| Grilled Teriyaki | \$480,000 | 2,927 | \$164 |
| Pour House | \$240,000 | 2,938 | \$82 |
| Dr. Rocco's Tropical Bar | \$240,000 | 3,329 | \$72 |
| Good Times | \$268,000 | 3,234 | \$83 |
| The Saloon | \$268,000 | 2,561 | \$105 |
| Bad Ass Coffee Co. | \$560,000 | 9,218 | \$61 |
| Adrian's Gelateria | \$200,000 | 1,427 | \$140 |
| Delaney's | \$1,420,000 | 3,266 | \$435 |
| Average | \$837,385 | 3,950 | \$235 |


| Summary |
| :--- |
| Source of sales volume is from InfoUSA, which conducts <br> annual surveys of businesses nationwide. There is a <br> possibility of businesses reporting lower than actual <br> sales figures <br> Current sales volume per square foot are low in both the <br> retail and food and beverage categories <br> Restaurants on Devine Street average higher sales per <br> square foot than in 5 Points <br> One stakeholder estimated that their restaurant/bar sales <br> were down 40\% in 2007 |

[^3]Estimated Current Retail Sales Volume

| Total Businesses: Total Sales: | $\begin{array}{r} 341 \\ \$ 292,459,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Businesses | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \text { Sales } \\ & \text { Total (in } \\ & 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Agriculture | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Mining | 1 | 0.3\% | \$4,131 |
| Utilities | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Construction | 11 | 3.2\% | \$5,755 |
| Manufacturing | 3 | 0.9\% | \$1,590 |
| Wholesale Trade | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Retail Trade | 66 | 19.4\% | \$65,845 |
| Motor Vehicle and Parts Dealers | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Furniture \& Home Furnishings Stores | 2 | 0.6\% | \$4,590 |
| Electronics \& Appliance Stores | 5 | 1.5\% | \$9,376 |
| Bldg Material and Garden Equipment and Supplies Dealers | 3 | 0.9\% | \$2,181 |
| Food \& Beverage Stores | 4 | 1.2\% | \$9,334 |
| Health \& Personal Care Stores | 2 | 0.6\% | \$2,000 |
| Gasoline Stations | 1 | 0.3\% | \$1,856 |
| Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores | 23 | 6.7\% | \$15,915 |
| Sport Goods, Hobby, Book, \& Music Stores | 8 | 2.3\% | \$7,587 |
| General Merchandise Stores | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Miscellaneous Store Retailers | 18 | 5.3\% | \$13,006 |
| Nonstore Retailers | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Transportation \& Warehousing | 3 | 0.9\% | \$528 |
| Information | 2 | 0.6\% | \$2,727 |
| Finance \& Insurance | 21 | 6.2\% | \$71,656 |
| Central Bank/Credit Intermediation \& Related Activities | 5 | 1.5\% | \$20,497 |
| Insurance Carriers \& Related Activities; funds, Trusts \& Other financial Vehicles | 13 | 3.8\% | \$48,423 |
| Real Estate, Rental \& Leasing | 22 | 6.5\% | \$20,554 |
| Professional, Scientific \& Tech Services | 59 | 17.3\% | \$55,902 |
| Legal Services | 23 | 6.7\% | \$16,727 |
| Management of companies \& Enterprises | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Administrative \& Support \& Waste Management \& | 6 | 1.8\% | \$2,246 |
| Educational Services | 5 | 1.5\% | \$1,459 |
| Health care \& Social Assistance | 24 | 7.0\% | \$9,562 |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 3 | 0.9\% | \$641 |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 57 | 16.7\% | \$43,241 |
| Accommodation | 2 | 0.6\% | \$2,499 |
| Food Services and Drinking Places | 55 | 16.1\% | \$40,742 |
| Other Services (except Public Administration | 46 | 13.5\% | \$6,622 |
| Automotive Repair \& Maintenance | 1 | 0.3\% | \$920 |
| Public Administration | 8 | 2.3\% | \$0 |
| Unclassified Establishments | 4 | 1.2\% | \$0 |

## Summary

The total sales volume of $\$ 292.5 \mathrm{M}$ represents the total net revenue of all business along the corridor.

For this study the analysis is focused on the retail categories of Apparel and Apparel Services, Household Furnishings and Electronics, Personal Care and Services, and in Eating and Drinking Places.
Total sales volume for the selected retail categories total $\$ 31.8 \mathrm{M}$ or $48 \%$ of all retail sales.

| Select Categories |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Retail | $\$ 31,881,000$ |
| Apparel \& Apparel Services | $\$ 15,915,000$ |
| HH Furnishings \& Electronics | $\$ 13,966,000$ |
| Personal Care \& Services | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Eating \& Drinking Places | $\$ 70,742,000$ |
| Subtotal |  |
| Sales Volume in Selected |  |
| Categories: \$72.6M |  |

[^4]
## General Limiting Conditions

Every reasonable effort has been made to ensure that the data contained in this report are accurate as of the date of this study; however, factors exist that are outside the control of Economics Research Associates and that may affect the estimates and/or projections noted herein. This study is based on estimates, assumptions and other information developed by Economics Research Associates from its independent research effort, general knowledge of the industry, and information provided by and consultations with the client and the client's representatives. No responsibility is assumed for inaccuracies in reporting by the client, the client's agent and representatives, or any other data source used in preparing or presenting this study.
This report is based on information that was current as of February, 2008 and Economics Research Associates has not undertaken any update of its research effort since such date.

Because future events and circumstances, many of which are not known as of the date of this study, may affect the estimates contained therein, no warranty or representation is made by Economics Research Associates that any of the projected values or results contained in this study will actually be achieved.

Possession of this study does not carry with it the right of publication thereof or to use the name of "Economics Research Associates" in any manner without first obtaining the prior written consent of Economics Research Associates. No abstracting, excerpting or summarization of this study may be made without first obtaining the prior written consent of Economics Research Associates. This report is not to be used in conjunction with any public or private offering of securities, debt, equity, or other similar purpose where it may be relied upon to any degree by any person other than the client, nor is any third party entitled to rely upon this report, without first obtaining the prior written consent of Economics Research Associates. This study may not be used for purposes other than that for which it is prepared or for which prior written consent has first been obtained from Economics Research Associates.
This study is qualified in its entirety by, and should be considered in light of, these limitations, conditions and considerations.


[^0]:    Source: SBRI Survey (UC San Diego), 2004; Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2001; Darla Moore School of Business Research Department, 2000; Economics Research Associates, 2007
    Notes: 1/ Excludes Non-Retail Expenditures (37.8\%) According to BLS College Age Consumer Expenditure Report, 2001
    2/ 2007 Student Expenditures inflated using BLS Inflation rate for South East U.S.
    3/ Retail category spending based on spending patterns of students at university with similar student body characteristics (UC San Diego), 2004

[^1]:    ERA No: 17467 - Columbia Five Points/Devine Street Retail Analysis

[^2]:    Notes: $\quad 1 / 2006$ SF and sales are rounded

[^3]:    Source: Info USA, 2007; CoStar, 2007; Economics Research Associates, 2007.
    Notes: $\quad 1 / 2006$ SF and sales are rounded
    2/ Only includes bars and restaurants where RBA and Sales are available

[^4]:    Source: ESRI Business Information Solutions; InfoUSA; Economics Research Associates, 2007

